Appendix 1 Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Adult Social Care	Service area: Commissioning
Lead person:	Contact number:
Ian Brooke-Mawson Strategic Commissioning Manager	(0113) 3784183

1. Title

Waiver of CPR 9.1 and 9.2 in order to enter into contracts with 4 organisations to provide Community Based Respite Services for a period of six months

15 ti 115 ti .	ls	this	a:
----------------	----	------	----

Strategy / Policy	
-------------------	--

Service / Function

Other

If other, please specify

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

A recommendation that contracts are awarded to existing providers of community based respite services for a period of six months.

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		✓
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the		✓
policy or proposal?		
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or	✓	
procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by		
whom?		
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment		\checkmark
practices?		
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on		\checkmark
Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and		
harassment		
Advancing equality of opportunity		
Fostering good relations		

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete sections 6 and 7

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

The Director of Adult Social Services presented a report, '*A Break with Tradition, Transforming Short Breaks*' to Leeds City Council's Executive Board on 8th February 2017. The report recommended that Executive Board note that Adult Social Care is to enter a period of consultation to support the transformation of short breaks

Awarding new contracts to existing providers of community based respite services will ensure that arrangements can be put into place so that current customers continue to receive a service until the consultation exercise is completed and recommendations from that consultation are approved and implemented.

Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

Short breaks provide positive outcomes for unpaid carers and the people they care-for and should be seen as a key component in our overall approach to supporting both.

Current arrangements are not considered equitable and present anomalies in terms of assessing need, determining eligibility and financial assessment. Furthermore there is limited opportunity to expand within current resources and current arrangements do not fit well with new approaches and development across Adult Social Care.

The introduction of a new short breaks offer is likely to mean a change in circumstances for people who currently receive either a community based respite service or a shared lives outreach service.

Legal advice recommends that Adult Social Care should undertake a process of formal consultation in order to make changes to the current service offer and the Council's Executive Board should be given notice of this

Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

A re-modelled approach to short breaks across Adult Social Care	creates the opportunity
to:	

- modernise the service offer and ensure a better fit with emerging models of delivery in Adult Social Care (e.g. strength based approach, alternatives to residential care, uptake of direct payments)
- ensure compliance with the Care Act and equity and consistency across the whole of Adult Social Care
- ensure better focusing, use and targeting of scarce resources

The opportunities of a re-modelled approach to short breaks are part of a positive and innovative strategy to extend preventative and supportive interventions to a wider community

 5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.

 Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:

 Date to complete your impact assessment

 Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)

6. Governance, ownership and approval		
Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening		
Name	Job title	Date
Mick Ward	Head of Adult Social Care	27 th March 2017
	Commissioning	

7. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.

If this screening relates to a **Key Delegated Decision**, **Executive Board**, **full Council** or a **Significant Operational Decision** a copy should be emailed to Corporate Governance and will be published along with the relevant report.

Date screening completed	27 th March 2017
If relates to a Key Decision - date sent to Corporate Governance	
Any other decision – date sent to Equality Team (equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk)	